Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 4255 OF 2005

PETITIONER : Rajaram Kshetri Son of Shri M.S.Kshatri, aged about years , Ex. Clerk ,resident of Village and Post Seepat District Bilaspur (CG).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Through its Director, (Personnel), South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Seepat Road, Bilaspur, Tahsil and District Bilaspur (CG).

2. The General Manager, Central Workshop, South Eastern Coalfields Limited, Korba ,Tahsil and District Korba (CG).

3. The Personnel Manager, CWS, SECL Korba (CG).

4. The Sub Area Manager, Dipka Project, SECL, P.O.Gevra Gevra Project, District Bilaspur (CG).

4392/05 Presented by Alok Pandey dated 30-8-05

WRIT PETITION U/S 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

39

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ....W.P. 4255/05.... **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05.10.2005 Mrs. Renu Kochar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. P.S. Koshy, learned counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was working as Clerk Grade-III with respondent No.4. By order dated 06.02.2001, the petitioner was removed from the service. Thereafter, the petitioner had preferred an appeal before respondent No.2 on 14.03.2001. The appeal is pending consideration ever since and no order has been passed till date. In the meantime, the petitioner has been served notice to vacate the official premises allotted to him or pay penal rent. Since the appeal challenging the removal order is pending before respondent No.2, it is necessary that this issue be also considered by the appellate authority. The appellate authority i.e respondent No.2 is directed to dispose of the appeal at the earliest. In the meantime, the petitioner is allowed to continue in the official premises till the disposal of the appeal. The respondents are at liberty to take decision on the question as to whether the petitioner was liable to pay penal rent or not after disposal of the appeal. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, all pending applications also stand disposed of. **Sd/-** **Satish K. Agnihotri** **Judge**	
Sarma		

SP/F S/2005 - 20,000